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#### Abstract

If $P_{m}^{r}$ is a polynomial of total degree $m(\geqslant 2)$ in $r(\geqslant 1)$ variables, then each of its coefficients with degree $m-1$ is bounded in absolute value by $\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\|$ times a product of the absolute values of coefficients of univariate Chebyshev polynomials (the uniform norm is taken on the $r$-dimensional unit cube). This result generalizes a well-known inequality for univariate polynomials which is due to V. Markov. By a counterexample we demonstrate that such a bound does not hold for the coefficients with degree $\leqslant m-2$.


## 1. Introduction

A classical result of V . Markov [2] concerning the size of polynomial coefficients is the following set of sharp inequalities: If $P_{m}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k} x^{k}$ is an arbitrary real-valued (univariate) polynomial with norm $\left\|P_{m}\right\|:=\max \left|P_{m}(x)\right| \leqslant 1$, where $x \in I:=\lfloor-1,1]$, and $T_{m}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} t_{k}^{(m)} x^{k}$ denotes the $m$ th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind with respect to $I$, then

$$
\left|a_{k}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases}\left|t_{k}^{(m)}\right|, & \text { if } k \equiv m \bmod 2  \tag{1}\\ \left|t_{k}^{(m-1)}\right|, & \text { if } k \equiv m-1 \bmod 2\end{cases}
$$

(see also [3, p. 56] or [9, p. 167]). The integer coefficients $t_{k}^{(m)}$ are explicitly known (cf. [8, p. 32]). The case $k=m$ is originally due to Chebyshev [1]; see also [8, p. 57]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m}\right| \leqslant 2^{m-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we consider extensions of (1) to multivariate polynomials $P_{m}^{r}$ of total degree $\leqslant m \in \mathbb{N}$ on the unit cube $I^{r}, r \geqslant 1$. The following notation will be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}^{r}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m} b_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad b_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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with $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r}, \mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{r}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}=x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots x_{r}^{k_{r}}$, and $|\mathbf{k}|=$ $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}$. We put $\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\|:=\max \left|P_{m}^{r}(\mathbf{x})\right|$, where $\mathbf{x} \in I^{r}$, and denote by $\mathbb{P}_{m}^{r}$ the linear space of polynomials given by (3). According to [7, Corollary 3] the following generalization of (2) holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{k}\right| \leqslant 2^{m-\bar{r}} \quad \text { if }|\mathbf{k}|=m \text { and }\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\| \leqslant 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if $P_{m}^{r}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{q=1}^{r} T_{k_{q}}\left(x_{q}\right)$, where $\bar{r}$ denotes the number of nonvanishing components of $\mathbf{k}$. (An alternative proof of an extension of (4) is given in [4, Satz 1.5].)

Here we show that an estimate analogous to that in (4) holds for each $b_{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}|=m-1 \quad(m \geqslant 2)$. By a counterexample we then demonstrate that neither products nor any rational functions of coefficients of (univariate) Chebyshev polynomials are enough to majorize the $b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime}$ 's if $|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m-2$.

## 2. Results and Proofs

We begin with an auxiliary result.
Lemma. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{r}$ with $|\mathbf{k}|=m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary but fixed; let $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{m}^{r}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}:\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m, \mathbf{k}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{k}\right\}$ denote that subspace of $\mathbb{P}_{m}^{r}$ whose basis does not contain the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$. Define sets $J_{q}:=\left\{0, \ldots, k_{q}+1\right\} \backslash\left\{k_{q}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V:=\left\{\sum_{q=1}^{r} \sum_{j_{q} \in J_{q}} x_{q}^{j_{q}} G_{j_{q}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-1}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $G_{j_{q}}$ 's are continuous functions on $I^{r-1}$. Then the inclusion $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{m}^{r} \subset V$ holds.

Proof. Because of the arbitrariness of the functions $G_{j_{q}}$ it suffices to show that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}} \in V$ if $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{r}$ and $\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m$ (but $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{k}$ ). The following observations concerning the components $k_{q}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ and $k_{q}$ of $\mathbf{k}$ are easy to verify: (i) if $\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m-1$ (but $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{k}$ ), then there exist $k_{q}^{\prime}$ and $k_{q}$ with $k_{q}^{\prime}<k_{q}$; (ii) if $\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right|=m$, then there exist $k_{q}^{\prime}$ and $k_{q}$ with $k_{q}^{\prime}<k_{q}$ or with $k_{q}^{\prime}=k_{q}+1$.

In those cases where $k_{q}^{\prime}<k_{q}$ for some $q \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}$ can be written as $x_{q}^{k_{q}^{\prime}} G\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-1}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ with a suitable continuous function $G$ and $k_{q}^{\prime} \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{q}-1\right\} \subset J_{q}$. If $k_{q}^{\prime}=k_{q}+1$ for some $q \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ we may write $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}$ as $x_{q}^{k_{q}^{\prime}} H\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-1}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ with a suitable continuous function $H$ and $k_{q}^{\prime} \in\left\{k_{q}+1\right\} \subset J_{q}$. In both cases $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}$ can be identified with an element of $V$.

Theorem. Let $P_{m}^{r} \in \mathbb{P}_{m}^{r}$ with $\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\| \leqslant 1 ;$ let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{r}$ with $|\mathbf{k}|=m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$
be arbitrary but fixed and denote by $\vec{r}$ the number of nonvanishing components $k_{q}$ of $\mathbf{k}$. Then the coefficients $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ of $P_{m}^{r}$ satisfy the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \leqslant 2^{m-\bar{r}-1} \quad(|\mathbf{k}|=m-1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if $P_{m}^{r}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{q=1}^{r} T_{k_{q}}\left(x_{q}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}^{r} \subset \mathbb{P}_{m}^{r}$.
Proof. Let $\bar{T}_{m}$ denote the $m$ th Chebyshev polynomial normalized so that its leading coefficient is 1. A theorem of Markov (cf. [2, pp. 231, 237] or [3, p. 53]) states that

$$
x_{q}^{k_{q}}-\bar{T}_{k_{q}}\left(x_{q}\right)
$$

is the best $L^{\infty}$-approximation to the monomial $x_{q}^{k_{q}}$ on $I$ from the space span $\left\{1, x_{q}, \ldots, x_{q}^{k_{q^{-}}}, x_{q}^{k_{q}+1}\right\}$. With the aid of Theorem 2.6.7 in [10] we infer from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}-\prod_{q=1}^{r} \bar{T}_{k_{q}}\left(x_{q}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a best $L^{\infty}$-approximation to $\mathbf{x}^{k}$ on $I^{r}$ from the set $V$ as defined in (5) and hence also from $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{m}^{r} \subset V$ (see the preceding Lemma) since (7) belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{m}^{r}$. The required estimate is then obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|b_{\mathbf{k}}\right| & \leqslant\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\|\left(\inf _{\bar{P}_{m}^{r} \bar{P}_{m}^{r}} \max _{\mathbf{x} \in I^{r}}\left|\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}-\bar{P}_{m}^{r}(\mathbf{x})\right|\right)^{-1} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\prod_{q=1}^{r} \bar{T}_{k_{q}}\right\|^{-1}=\left(\prod_{q=1}^{r}\left\|\bar{T}_{k_{q}}\right\|\right)^{-1}=2^{m-\bar{r}-1} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. [9, Satz 1.2] or [11, p. 86]).
In the light of the inequalities (1), (4) and (6) it is reasonable to ask whether the coefficients $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ in $P_{m}^{r}$ with $\left\|P_{m}^{r}\right\| \leqslant 1$ will also be maximized by a product of coefficients of (univariate) Chebyshev polynomials if $|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m-2$. If this were true one would have a complete multivariate analogue to Markov's inequalities (1). However, the answer is in the negative as we show by a counterexample.

Example. Let $m=4, r=2$ and put $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right):=(k, l)$ and $\mathbf{x}=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=(x, y)$. Our aim is to determine the largest coefficient $b_{(1,1)}$ (in absolute value) among all $P_{4}^{2}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leqslant k+1 \leqslant 4} b_{(k, l)} x^{k} y^{l}$ with $\left\|P_{4}^{2}\right\| \leqslant 1$. Observe that for $\mathbf{k}=(k, l)=(1,1)$ we now have $|\mathbf{k}|=2=m-2$. It is interesting to note that the proof of our Theorem cannot be imitated here since $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{P}}}_{4}^{2}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{x^{k} y^{l}: 0 \leqslant k+l \leqslant 4, \quad(k, l) \neq(1,1)\right\}$ is no subset of the set $V$ as defined in (5). In fact, we now have
$V=\left\{G_{0}(y)+x^{2} G_{2}(y)+H_{0}(x)+y^{2} H_{2}(x): G_{0}, G_{2}, H_{0}, H_{2}\right.$ continuous on $\left.I\right\}$ but from this set the monomials $x^{3} y$ and $x y^{3}$ cannot be recovered. To reach our aim we shall apply the same reasoning as in (8). To this end, we have to compute a best $L^{\infty}$-approximation to $f(x, y):=x y$ on $I^{2}$ from $\overline{\bar{\Gamma}}_{4}^{2}$. This function is symmetric and odd in each of its variables; a best approximation to $f$ with the same properties belongs necessarily to the one-dimensional subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{A}:=\left\{w_{A}: w_{A}(x, y)=A\left(x^{3} y+x y^{3}\right), A \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{P}}}_{4}^{2}$. It suffices to determine a best approximation to $f$ from $W_{A}$. Investigating the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}:=f-w_{A} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $I^{2}$, partial differentiation yields the result that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A^{\prime}=\left(1+2^{1 / 2}\right) / 4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{A^{\prime}}$ alternates at the eight points $(x, y)=( \pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $(x, y)=( \pm z, \pm z)$, where $z:=\left(2^{1 / 2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}$. To be specific, $F_{A^{\prime}}(x, y)=\left\|F_{A^{\prime}}\right\|=\left(2^{1 / 2}-1\right) / 2$ if

$$
(x, y) \in M^{+}:=\{(z, z),(1,-1),(-z,-z),(-1,1)\} \subset I^{2}
$$

and $F_{A^{\prime}}(x, y)=-\left\|F_{A^{\prime}}\right\|$ if

$$
(x, y) \in M^{-}:=\{(1,1),(z,-z),(-1,-1),(-z, z)\} \subset I^{2} .
$$

There is no $w_{A} \in W_{A}$ which is positive on $M^{+}$and negative on $M^{-}$. Indeed, if $w_{A}>0$ on $M^{+}$then in particular $w_{A}(z, z)>0$ and hence $A>0$. On the other hand, if $w_{A}<0$ on $M^{-}$then in particular $w_{A}(1,1)<0$ and hence $A<0$, a contradiction.

We conclude from [10, Lemma 2.2.1] that $F_{A}$, is the error-function of a best $L^{\infty}$-approximation to $f$ on $I^{2}$ from $W_{A}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{(1,1)}\right| \leqslant\left\|P_{4}^{2}\right\|\left\|F_{A^{\prime}}\right\|^{-1} \leqslant\left\|F_{A^{\prime}}\right\|^{-1}=2\left(1+2^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if $P_{4}^{2}(x, y)=2\left(1+2^{1 / 2}\right) F_{A^{\prime}}(x, y)$.

## 3. Remarks

(i) An alternative generalization of the cases $k=m$ and $k=m-1$ in Markov's inequalities (1) to the polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_{m}^{r}$ is to be found in [5].
(ii) A complete extension of (1) to multivariate polynomials is possible if we consider tensorproduct polynomials rather than polynomials with bounded total degree (cf. [6]).
(iii) The results presented here are excerpted from [4].
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